EXHIBIT 3

```
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                  Plaintiff,
10
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     vs.
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                       VOLUME II OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
     DEPOSITION OF BERTON FISHER, PhD, produced as a
16
     witness on behalf of the Defendants in the above
17
     styled and numbered cause, taken on the 4th day of
18
     September, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of
19
     Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A.
20
     Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly
21
     certified under and by virtue of the laws of the
22
     State of Oklahoma.
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	in the plant matter and actually transport it and				
2	deposit it as more soluble phosphorus in manure in				
3	or near water courses?				
4	MR. GARREN: Object to form.				
5	Q That's not part of your evaluation?	11:50AM			
6	A It is not.				
7	Q Let's see. Your report, Page 4, you covered				
8	this with Mr. George yesterday. You said the only				
9	contaminants of concern in the Illinois River				
10	watershed are phosphorus and bacteria; correct?	11:51AM			
11	A That's what I said, yes.				
12	Q All right. What is the form of phosphorus				
13	that is the contaminant of concern?				
14	A All forms of phosphorus are going to be the				
15	contaminant of concern because phosphorus undergoes 11:51AM				
16	numerous reactions with environmental media. So				
17	adding phosphorus in one form today, it can turn				
18	into a form that's taken up by algae tomorrow in a				
19	stream.				
20	Q With the bulk of the water quality data, is	11:51AM			
21	this most oftenly expressed as total P?				
22	A That's correct.				
23	Q Okay. So when there have been a lot of				
24	discussion in the last two days about phosphorus,				
25	phosphorus, phosphorus. What typically you and	11:51AM			

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	When you and I started talking earlier, I thought				
2	the Record was pretty clear that the constituents of				
3	concern are phosphorus and bacteria. When you say				
4	constituents in the context of your statement on				
5	Page 50, are you referring to anything else other 02:30PM				
6	than phosphorus and bacteria?				
7	A These would be the entirety of the suite of				
8	chemicals pretty much from poultry litter.				
9	Q Are you claiming that there is water in the				
10	Illinois River watershed that is polluted by any 02:30PM				
11	constituent other than phosphorus and bacteria?				
12	MR. GARREN: Object to the form.				
13	A Okay. Am I claiming that there are any				
14	constituents of concern other than phosphorus and				
15	bacteria? 02:30PM				
16	Q Yeah.				
17	A No.				
18	Q All right. Opinion 21, you refer to or I'm				
19	going to modify the word, attenuation. What is				
20	attenuation or attenuated mean? 02:31PM				
21	A To attenuate is to diminish. The peculiar				
22	aspect of Karst terrain, which is what this speaks				
23	to, is that materials in Karst, there are very				
24	there can be very large fractures at depth. Those				
25	fractures permit a flow of water much as through a	02:31PM			

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	A	I don't know what I'm sorry. I don't know				
2	what t	what to assume, Mr. Elrod. I just can report what I				
3	saw in	saw in those fields.				
4	Q	Now, you testified twice in the last two days				
5	that t	he contaminants of concern in this case are	04:54PM			
6	phosphorus and bacteria; correct?					
7	A	That's correct.				
8	Q	Now, I have to prepare a defense for my client				
9	at the	trial of this case. You understand that?				
10	A	Yes, I do.	04:54PM			
11	Q	Does that mean that I don't have to be				
12	concer	ned about preparing a defense for metals?				
13		MR. GARREN: Object to form.				
14	A	You mean metals as pollutants?				
15	Q	Yes, sir.	04:54PM			
16	A	That's correct.				
17	Q	And does that assume that I do not have to				
18	prepar	e a defense for my client regarding hormones?				
19		MR. GARREN: Object to the form.				
20	A	Well, I've never offered any opinion on	04:54PM			
21	hormon	es, nor do I know of any experts who have.				
22	Q	Does that assume then can I assume then I				
23	do not	have to prepare a defense for my client				
24	regard	ing hormones?				
25		MR. GARREN: Object to form.	04:55PM			

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
I don't believe you do.
 1
             And then does that also assume that I do not
 2
      have to prepare a defense for my client regarding
 3
      nitrogen?
 4
 5
                MR. GARREN: Object to form.
                                                                       04:55PM
 6
             Especially nitrogen impact on groundwater?
 7
                MR. GARREN: Same objection.
              I don't know. I don't believe so.
 8
             And does that also -- can I also assume that I
 9
10
      do not have to prepare a defense for my client on
                                                                       04:55PM
      the issue of antimicrobial effects?
11
                MR. GARREN: Object to form.
12
             Could you define antimicrobial effects?
13
14
             I can't any better than I just said it.
             Okay. If you are talking about a defense of
                                                                       04:55PM
15
      your client with respect to the presence of
16
      antibiotic materials in litter --
17
             Yes, sir.
18
19
              -- I don't believe so.
             All right. If you'd look at your report on
                                                                       04:55PM
20
      Table 1, I didn't note the page.
21
22
             Table 1?
             Yes, sir. It should be the growth in chicken
23
      production in the Illinois River watershed.
24
                                                                       04:56PM
              I have that, yes, sir.
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878